



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,304	06/08/2006	Spiros Gratsias	011348-0021-999	5133
20583	7590	05/06/2010	EXAMINER	
JONES DAY 222 EAST 41ST ST NEW YORK, NY 10017			PAYER, HWEI SIU CHOU	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/06/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/596,304	Applicant(s) GRATSIAS ET AL.	
	Examiner HWEI-SIU C. PAYER	Art Unit 3724	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 January 2010.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 14-35 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 14-35 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/2/2010</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Detailed Action

The amendment filed on 1/27/2010 has been entered.

Claims Rejection - 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 14-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schachter (U.S. Patent No. 4,998,347) in view of King et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,167,625) and Brown, Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0208907).

Schachter shows a shaving system (10) comprising at least one blade (14), a platform (16) and a stainless steel guard bar (12) in the shape of a longitudinal body with a substantially circular cross-section and having two ends (see Fig.4, not labeled) and positioned forward of the at least one blade (14) and parallel to the blade cutting edge (see Fig.1), wherein an elongated space (28) is provided between the guard bar (12) and the platform (16), wherein the guard bar (12) is preformed before assembling to the platform (see column 2, lines 42-46) substantially as claimed except the guard bar (12) is not of the claimed type such that it is parallel to the blade cutting edge "along

its entire length", and Schachter does not explicitly mention how the guard bar (12) is assembled onto the platform (16).

However, it is well known in the shaving art to have the guard bar of a wet shaver parallel to the blade cutting edge along its entire length as evidenced by King et al. (see Fig.1, guard bar 11 parallel to blade cutting edge 12/14 along its entire length).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time this invention was made to modify Schachter by having the guard bar (12) of the type that is parallel to the blade cutting edge along its entire length for the predictable result of gliding the shaver along a skin during shaving operation.

Brown et al. teach assembling two elements (i.e. a blade and a razor housing) by having at least part of one element (i.e. razor housing 16) overmolded two end portions of the other element (i.e. blade 18) to secure the two elements (16,18) together by only the end portions of the other element (blade 18, see Fig.5 and lines 2-3 of the abstract). This is done by positioning the other element (blade 18) in a mold cavity (112) and molding at least part of the one element (housing 16) by injecting plastic in the mold cavity (112), and wherein the at least part of the one element (housing 16) is molded over the two end portions of the other element (18) during the process of molding the one element (16).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to further modify Schachter by assembling the guard bar (12) and the platform (16) together by means of a commercially available method such as the injection molding of Brown, Jr. et al. to facilitate a quick and massive production.

Regarding claims 18, 24 and 31, while Schachter does not explicitly mention the guard bar (12) being made of wood, however, the claimed wood material is not patentably distinct over Schachter, since applicants have not specifically pointed out the criticality of using the claimed wood material for the guard bar, but have disclosed it as an example of various materials that may be used. Further, it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Remarks

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14-35 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Action Made Final

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 3724

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Point of Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hwei-Siu C. Payer whose telephone number is 571-272-4511. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:00 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300 for official communications and 571-273-4511 for proposed amendments.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

Application/Control Number: 10/596,304

Page 6

Art Unit: 3724

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

H Payer
May 4, 2010

/Hwei-Siu C. Payer/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3724